UNIVERSITÄT BERI

## Towards Systems that Learn by Themselves

Computer Vision Group — University of Bern

Paolo Favaro

## A long-standing goal

- To build machines that learn by themselves how to navigate environments and plan for tasks
- We need to
  - Equip them with sensing devices for visual, auditory, tactile,... stimuli
  - Design algorithms to extract information from the observations



Image credits: MAAS Digital, NASA, JPL



## Supervised learning



Information is provided (manually) per sample





## Supervised learning

Break down the problem into a set of tasks

• Train a single model end-to-end to solve all tasks at once (or multiple models and then coordinate their operations)

• For each task provide a dataset with input-output pairings (supervision)



### Does it sound familiar?

Initially, we solved tasks by defining a set of pre-programmed rules and brute force search

 But we realized that we do not know what the best way of solving a task is...





# Should we also revise learning from examples?

- If we learn autonomous driving through examples...
- ...we would also need to experience lots of accidents
- but is that how humans learn to drive\*?

\*although we certainly learn to walk through lots of falling! Adolph et al, "How Do You Learn to Walk? Thousands of Steps and Dozens of Falls Per Day, Psychology Science, 2012





some thoughts on Supervision

## Supervision today

- Multimodal learning shows that massive supervision is effective
  - Train with multiple signals (eg, images, videos, audio, text, segmentations, depth, normal maps, bounding boxes)
  - Example: PaLM-E (562B parms): 520B PaLM + 22B ViT Control loop with a robot Trained on single image + text prompts
  - Works also with a frozen PaLM

\*Driess et al, PaLM-E: An Embodied Multimodal Language Model, ArXiv 2023

### Prompt: Human: <instruction> Robot: <step history>. I see <img>

### Results

We show a few example videos showing how PaLM-E can be used to plan and execute long horizon tasks on two



## Supervision today

- Multimodal learning shows that massive supervision is effective
  - Train with multiple signals (eg, images, videos, audio, text, segmentations, depth, normal maps, bounding boxes)
  - Example: PaLM-E (562B parms): 520B PaLM + 22B ViT Control loop with a robot Trained on single image + text prompts
  - Works also with a frozen PaLM

\*Driess et al, PaLM-E: An Embodied Multimodal Language Model, ArXiv 2023

### Prompt: Human: <instruction> Robot: <step history>. I see <img>

### Results

We show a few example videos showing how PaLM-E can be used to plan and execute long horizon tasks on two



## Large Language Models

- billions to trillion words/tokens to predict the next word

the students opened their

- LLMs such as GPT-X, PaLM-X, LLaMA have demonstrated surprising emergent abilities\* not observed in small models
- seems to go a very long way

\*Wei et al, Emergent Abilities of Large Language Models, TMLR 2022

• LLMs are large models (billions to a trillion parameters) mostly trained on

• LLMs are trained in an **unsupervised manner** (predict the next word task)



Just learning the correlation in the data (ie, p(new word previous words))

## Natural language supervision

When is human annotation enough and not confusing to a model?



construction worker in orange safety vest is working on road

> man is pulling cables behind orange machine

### A conjecture

Human supervision will eventually limit the learning of large models

 Learning from raw data has the potential for the discovery of more patterns and knowledge than what is available in natural language

interface with human users, but not as the ultimate learning signal

Agents could use natural language to bootstrap their knowledge and to

## Self-learning

- the other capabilities emerge?



### past frames

Images from Epstein et al, Oops! Predicting Unintentional Action in Video, CVPR 2020

• Is it possible that there is an **uber-task** based on self-learning from which all

• Example: Given some past synchronized signals (eg, image frames, audio, tactile input), predict the future synchronized signals (eg, image frames, etc)

future frames



## Unsupervised learning



data view d

data view

Information is provided for the **whole dataset** (eg, a set of data augmentations)





13

- (eg, LAION)?
- Current SL methods work extraordinarily well
- task learning in Flamingo [Alayrac et al 2022])

\*although labelling may be unreliable and require further processing

Why bother with UL when a lot of data with supervision\* is readily available

• The more supervision we combine, the better the performance (eg, multi-



- high, time-consuming and error-prone
- Human annotation is not scalable
  - Every new task requires new human annotation
  - be scarce and expensive
- We should at least minimize the human effort

• Performance increases with more data (a lot of data), so data collection costs can be

Specialized tasks require specialized humans (eg the medical domain) — they can



- Babies learn a great deal in an unsupervised way before they develop natural language skills [Gopnik et al., 2001]
- "What really reaches us from the outside world is a play of colours and shapes, light and sound."
- Babies make sense of the world even before they can communicate through language effectively

riousness of their project, and its implications, are breathtaking

THE SCIENTIST IN THE CRIB EARLY LEARNING TELLS US ABOUT THE MIND



Alison Gopnik.





- Supervision seems to be more of an accelerator for learning
- Also, how efficient is it to learn from millions of examples?
  - Do children at school learn just from lots of tasks and solutions?
- Interesting properties emerge from general purpose tasks (eg, fine-tuning of LLMs or other SSL-trained models)



## Unsupervised learning

### Representation learning: Self-supervised learning

Unsupervised segmentation learning

Unsupervised learning of controllable systems

Unsupervised learning of 3D shapes



## Representation Learning











## Representation Learning



attributes neural network



## Representation Learning



neural network attributes



## Representation Learning



### pre-training

neural network attributes



## Representation Learning





## Representation Learning





## Representation Learning





## Representation Learning





## Representation Learning





## Self-Supervised Learning

• The objective is to build features  $\phi$  so that

is a good approximation of p(y | x) for several tasks (and corresponding labels)

- $p(y \mid \phi(x))$



## Self-Supervised Learning

• The objective is to build features  $\phi$  so that

labels)

- $p(y \mid \phi(x))$ 
  - pre-training
- is a good approximation of p(y | x) for several tasks (and corresponding



### Self-Supervised Learning

- The objective is to build features  $\phi$  so that  $p(y \mid \phi(x))$ 
  - is a good approximation of p(y|x) for several tasks (and corresponding labels)
- would be a trivial solution), e.g., a shallow neural network

pre-training

Ideally,  $\phi$  should be such that  $p(y | \phi)$  can be "simple" (otherwise  $\phi = x$ 



### • Continuous Bag of Words

Skip-gram

Randomly masked

Predict

Illustrations from https://amitness.com/2020/05/self-supervised-learning-nlp/



A quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog

A quick [MASK] fox jumps over the [MASK] dog A quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog









## The first known SSL in vision

map all data augmentations of that image to this category



Dosovitski et al, Discriminative Unsupervised Feature Learning with Convolutional Neural Networks, NIPS 14

Exemplar-CNN proposed to build a category for each single image and to



### Spatial configuration of parts





- outliers
- from each other

\*Doersch et al 2015, Noroozi and Favaro 2016, Mundhenk et al. 2018, Noroozi et al 2018

Predict the relative position of object parts and identify

• Features of different object parts must be distinguishable

but also more similar to each other than to outliers







### Global vs local statistics

Original data





### Global vs local statistics




# Global vs local statistics



Supervised learning features do not distinguish well between the two sets



# Global vs local statistics



- Supervised learning features do not distinguish well between the two sets
- Mid-range texture\* classification is sufficient to solve the supervised task

\*See Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020 and Geirhos et al, Imagenet-trained cnns are biased towards texture; increasing shape bias improves accuracy and robustness, 2018





## Learning to discriminate global statistics





 Train a network to modify only the global statistics (e.g., missing face, disconnected limbs)

• Features of real objects should be distinguishable from features of unrealistic ones

• The feature representation should allow to discriminate global statistics (ie, shapes)

\*S. Jenni and P. Favaro, Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Learning to Spot Artifacts, 2018 S. Jenni et al, Steering Self-Supervised Feature Learning Beyond Local Pixel Statistics, 2020





# Reconstruction-based



\*K. He et al, Masked Autoencoders Are Scalable Learners, CVPR 2022 D. Pathak et al, Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, 2016 G. Larsson et al, Learning representations for automatic colorization, 2016

 Features should allow the reconstruction of a data sample from its context or other transformed versions of that sample

• Can be related to denoising AEs  $\rightarrow$  Features are encouraged to be invariant to the added "noise"

Images which differ by the transformation used in the pretext-task are mapped to similar features



# Contrastive Learning

\*Exemplar-CNN, SimCLR, MoCo, Deep Clustering, SeLa, SwAV Noroozi et al, Representation Learning by Learning to Count, 2017 Wang and Gupta, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Representations Using Videos, 2015

Pretext-task explicitly defines which images are similar based on data augmentation

 Network and optimization design provide non trivial performance boost (e.g., large minibatches, contrastive learning, additional network "head")



# Away from data augmentation

### SSL by distilling generative models



Li et al, DreamTeacher: Pretraining Image Backbones with Deep Generative Models, ICCV 2023





## Object segmentation

- Object segmentation allows to identify pixels that belong to a single object
- In computer vision
  - More accurate than bounding boxes or single points  $\bullet$
  - Better understanding of image content (shape information,  $\bullet$ removal of clutter, etc)
- In image processing
  - Allows advanced editing (background/object) replacement, composition)

\*Lan et al "DISCOBOX: Weakly Supervised Instance Segmentation and Semantic Correspondence from Box Supervision", ICCV 2021 <sup>†</sup>https://www.colorexpertsbd.com/blog/what-is-image-masking/









## Object segmentation labeling

- Manual labeling of segmentation masks in videos is unfeasible
- Prompted several attempts to learn object segmentation without labels
  - W-net, arxiv 2017
  - MONET, arxiv 2019
  - DeepUSPS, NeurIPS 2019
  - Autoregressive USL, ECCV 2020
  - LOST, BMVC 2021
  - FreeSOLO, CVPR 2022
  - TokenCut, CVPR 2022
  - DeepSpectral, CVPR 2022
  - Seong et al, CVPR 2023



## Object segmentation labeling

- Manual labeling of segmentation masks in videos is unfeasible
- - W-net, arxiv 2017
  - MONET, arxiv 2019
  - DeepUSPS, NeurIPS 2019
  - Autoregressive USL, ECCV 2020  $\bullet$
  - LOST, BMVC 2021
  - FreeSOLO, CVPR 2022
  - TokenCut, CVPR 2022
  - DeepSpectral, CVPR 2022
  - Seong et al, CVPR 2023

Prompted several attempts to learn object segmentation without labels



Built on top of pre-trained SSL features (eg, DINO, DenseCL)



### Realism as a segmentation signal

then, use a "realism"-based metric to rate the composite image

• If the mask is incorrect, the composite image would have unrealistic artifacts (e.g., repetitions or split objects that are typically joined)

• Prior work arxiv 2019, SEIGAN arxiv 2018

A. Bielski and P. Favaro, MOVE: Unsupervised Movable Object Segmentation and Detection, NeurIPS 2022

**Key idea**: Use the segmentation mask to copy, shift and paste an object;

### Cut&Paste ECCV 2018, PerturbGAN NeurIPS 2019, Copy-PastingGAN

















### Foreground object



(Inpainted) Background



### Foreground mask (too large)



(Inpainted) Background



### Foreground mask (too large)



### Composite image (repetition artifacts)



### Foreground mask (too small)



(Inpainted) Background



### Foreground mask (too small)



### Composite image (repetition artifacts)



41





















mask

inpainted background

composite image



































input image



### shifted image



### predicted mask



shifted mask

### Composition





shifted mask

### Composition

autoencoded foreground







### Composition

composite image







### Saliency Results (ECSSD)



original

### MOVE

SelfMask on MOVE

Ground truth



### Saliency Results (DUTS-TE)



original

### MOVE

SelfMask on MOVE

Ground truth



### Saliency Results (DUTS-OMRON)

### original

### MOVE

SelfMask on MOVE

Ground truth




### Detection (VOC07)

#### **Red** is ground truth Yellow is MOVE's prediction





### Detection (VOC12)

#### **Red** is ground truth Yellow is MOVE's prediction





#### **Red** is ground truth Yellow is MOVE's prediction



### Detection (COCO20K)



### Saliency Detection

Model

Deep Spectral TokenCut FreeSOLO MOVE (Ours)

LOST + Bilateral TokenCut + Bilateral MOVE (Ours) + Bilateral

SelfMask on pseudo\* SelfMask on pseudo\* + Bilateral SelfMask on MOVE (Ours) SelfMask on MOVE (Ours) + Bilateral

DUT-OMRON			DUTS-TE			ECSSD		
Acc	IoU	$\max F_{oldsymbol{eta}}$	Acc	IoU	$\max F_{eta}$	Acc	IoU	max
-	.567	-	-	.514	-	-	.733	-
.880	.533	.600	.903	.576	.672	.918	.712	.8
.909	.560	.684	.924	.613	.750	.917	.703	.8
.913	.585	.690	.944	.680	.789	.950	.809	.9
.818	.489	.578	.887	.572	.697	.916	.723	.8
.897	.618	.697	.914	.624	.755	.934	.772	.8
.925	.627	.720	.949	.692	.811	.952	.804	.9
.923	.609	.733	.938	.648	.789	.943	.779	.8
.939	.677	.774	.949	.694	.819	.951	.803	.9
.916	.643	.739	.947	.720	.824	.957	.839	.9
.922	.657	.743	.948	.699	.817	.956	.819	.9





### Unsupervised Single Object Discovery

Method	VOC07	VOC12	COCO20K
FreeSOLO	56.1	56.7	52.8
LOST	61.9	64.0	50.7
Deep Spectral	62.7	66.4	52.2
TokenCut	68.8	72.1	58.8
<b>MOVE (Ours)</b>	<b>73.5 († 4.7)</b>	<b>76.6</b> († <b>4.5</b> )	<b>63.0</b> († <b>4</b> .
LOD + CAD	56.3	61.6	52.7
rOSD + CAD	58.3	62.3	53.0
LOST + CAD	65.7	70.4	57.5
TokenCut + CAD	71.4	75.3	62.6
<b>MOVE (Ours) + CAD</b>	73.6	77.1	65.0
<b>MOVE (Ours) Multi + CAD</b>	<b>74.6 († 3.2)</b>	<b>79.3 († 4.0)</b>	<b>68.6</b> († <b>6</b> .

Correct Localization metric (CorLoc): percentage of images, where IoU>0.5 for a predicted single bounding box with at least one of the ground truth ones







### Unsupervised Single Object Discovery

#### TTO COT

Method	VOC07	VOC12	COCO20K
LOD + CAD	56.3	61.6	52.7
rOSD + CAD	58.3	62.3	53.0
LOST + CAD	65.7	70.4	57.5
TokenCut + CAD	71.4	75.3	62.6
MOVE (Ours) + CAD	73.6	77.1	65.0
<b>MOVE (Ours) Multi + CAD</b>	<b>74.6 († 3.2)</b>	<b>79.3 († 4.0)</b>	<b>68.6 († 6.</b>

Correct Localization metric (CorLoc): percentage of images, where IoU>0.5 for a predicted single bounding box with at least one of the ground truth ones











• So far only representations of single images: What about videos?



- So far only representations of single images: What about videos?
- We could represent each frame and the transitions across frames



- So far only representations of single images: What about videos?
- We could represent each frame and the transitions across frames
  - **States** are representations of static images



- So far only representations of single images: What about videos?
- We could represent each frame and the transitions across frames
  - States are representations of static images
  - Actions are representations of the changes/transitions



- So far only representations of single images: What about videos?
- We could represent each frame and the transitions across frames
  - States are representations of static images
  - Actions are representations of the changes/transitions
- One is usually given the actions, but they may not be easily available



- So far only representations of single images: What about videos?
- We could represent each frame and the transitions across frames
  - **States** are representations of static images
  - Actions are representations of the changes/transitions
- One is usually given the actions, but they may not be easily available

What about a model that **learns its action space**?



## Learning by predicting the future

- Goal: A generative controllable model with
  - **Predictions**: what is the future?
  - Sequence parsing: what is the representation of a video in terms of states and actions?
  - Planning: What sequence of actions takes an agent between these two states?
  - Counterfactual: eg, what would happen if?

Blattmann et al, ipoke: Poking a still image for controlled stochastic video synthesis, CVPR 2021 Menapace et al, Playable Video Generation, CVPR 2021 Menapace et al, Playable Environments: Video Manipulation in Space and Time, ArXiv 2022





## Object Interactions

What would happen if I placed a new object in front of the robot arm and moved the robot arm towards it?



Has not learned object-arm interactions

#### simulated scenarios



Has learned object-arm interactions



## Object Interactions

What would happen if I placed a new object in front of the robot arm and moved the robot arm towards it?



Has not learned object-arm interactions

#### simulated scenarios



Has learned object-arm interactions



## Object Interactions

What would happen if I placed a new object in front of the robot arm and moved the robot arm towards it?



Has not learned object-arm interactions

#### simulated scenarios



Has learned object-arm interactions





Blattmann et al, iPOKE: Poking a Still Image for Controlled Stochastic Video Synthesis, ICCV 2021



#### • Menapace et al, Playable Video Generation, CVPR 2021









#### • Menapace et al, Playable Video Generation, CVPR 2021









Time, ArXiv 2022



#### • Menapace et al, Playable Environments: Video Manipulation in Space and

Time, ArXiv 2022



#### • Menapace et al, Playable Environments: Video Manipulation in Space and

## Editable Models: DragGAN\*



Initial image

1<sup>st</sup> optimization step

\*Pan et al. Drag Your GAN: Interactive Point-based Manipulation on the Generative Image Manifold, SIGGRAPH 2023

Final image



Global and Local Action-driven Sequence Synthesis (GLASS)

• Learns two action spaces: Global (explicit geometric transformations) and Local (photometric transformations)

W-Sprites: New dataset to evaluate action identification

A. Davtyan and P. Favaro, Controllable Video Generation through Global and Local Motion Dynamics, ECCV 2022

### GLASS



Global and Local Action-driven Sequence Synthesis (GLASS)

• Learns two action spaces: Global (explicit geometric transformations) and Local (photometric transformations)

W-Sprites: New dataset to evaluate action identification

A. Davtyan and P. Favaro, Controllable Video Generation through Global and Local Motion Dynamics, ECCV 2022

### GLASS





## GLASS: Global Action Analysis







## GLASS: Local Action Analysis





## Learned Global Actions: BAIR



right left c

down

up



## Learned Global Actions: BAIR



#### right left d

down

up



## Learned Global Actions: Tennis



#### right

left

down

up



## Learned Global Actions: Tennis



#### right











source

### Action Transfer

### actions

target




source

### Action Transfer

### actions

target





source

### Action Transfer

### actions

target



# Qualitative Evaluation

### input image















### tation foreground background















# Experiments: Video Generation

### Image/Video reconstruction on BAIR (Robotic Arm)

Method MoCoGAN MoCoGAN SAVP [28] SAVP+ [30 Huang et a MoCoGAN [40] MoCoGAN + [30]SAVP+[30]Huang et al. [21] w/ non-param con ollable CADDY [30]Huang et al.  $[21] \le positional$  cont Huang et al. [21] w/ affine control contro GLASS

	$LPIPS\downarrow$	FID↓	$\mathbf{F}$
	0.466	198	1
	0.201	66.1	8
	0.433	220	1
	0.154	$\underline{27.2}$	3
ntrol	0.176	29.3	2
	0.202	35.9	4
$\operatorname{trol}$	0.202	28.5	4
	0.201	30.1	2
	0.118	18.7	4





# Experiments: Video Generation

### Image/Video reconstruction on Tennis

ollable contro

Method MoCoGAN [40] MoCoGAN + [30]SAVP+[30]Huang et al.  $[21] \le non-param$  con CADDY [30] Huang et al. [21] w/ positional cont Huang et al. [21] w/ affine control GLASS

	LPIPS↓	FID↓	FVD↓	ADD↓	M
	0.266	132	3400	28.5	2
	0.166	56.8	1410	48.2	2
	0.245	156	3270	10.7	1
	0.104	25.2	223	13.4	1
ntrol	0.100	8.68	204	1.76	0.
	0.102	13.7	239	8.85	1
$\operatorname{trol}$	0.122	10.1	$\underline{215}$	4.30	<u>0</u> .
	0.115	11.2	<b>207</b>	3.40	0.
	0.046	7.37	257	2.00	0.





# **Object Interactions via YODA\***



\*Davtyan and Favaro, Learn the Force We Can: Multi-Object Video Generation from Pixel-Level Interactions, tech. report 2023





# **Object Interactions via YODA\***



\*Davtyan and Favaro, Learn the Force We Can: Multi-Object Video Generation from Pixel-Level Interactions, tech. report 2023





# **Object Interactions via YODA\***



\*Davtyan and Favaro, Learn the Force We Can: Multi-Object Video Generation from Pixel-Level Interactions, tech. report 2023





given the current frame and an encoding of optical flow



• Step 2: Use YODA to animate an image by editing the optical flow input

# Y()DA

## • Step 1: Train an auto-regressive generative model that outputs the next frame





### generated video from a single input frame





### generated video from a single input frame























### interaction shows an object pushes the other





### interaction shows an object pushes the other





interaction shows an object pushes the other





### interaction shows an object pushes the other





### interaction shows an object pushes the other





### interaction shows an object pushes the other





### interaction shows an object pushes the other













### same initial frame but different inputs: result in different generated videos







### same initial frame but different inputs: result in different generated videos





Unsupervised learning of 3D shapes



Given a dataset of real images without: 1) Multiple views of the same object instance 2) Annotation: no landmarks, no 3D templates, no viewpoints, no masks, etc



# 3D in the wild

### Goal

Learn to map 1 image with 1 object to its **3D**, **texture** and **viewpoint** 



Given a dataset of real images without: 1) Multiple views of the same object instance 2) Annotation: no landmarks, no 3D templates, no viewpoints, no masks, etc



# 3D in the wild

### Goal

Learn to map 1 image with 1 object to its **3D**, **texture** and **viewpoint** 

### A first step

Learn to map 1 image with 1 object to its viewpoint



# Unsupervised Viewpoint Estimation



### compare images globally











# Estimate Relative Viewpoints



Δφ

estimate small viewpoint changes









# Estimate Relative Viewpoints





Δφ











A. Szabó, A.Vedaldi and P. Favaro, Building the View Graph of a Category by Exploiting Image Realism, ICCV Workshop, 2015







A. Szabó, A.Vedaldi and P. Favaro, Building the View Graph of a Category by Exploiting Image Realism, ICCV Workshop, 2015





Given a dataset of real images without: 1) Multiple views of the same object instance 2) Annotation: no landmarks, no 3D templates, no viewpoints, no masks, etc



# 3D in the wild

### Goal

Learn to map 1 image with 1 object to its **3D**, **texture** and **viewpoint** 





### Unsupervised Learning of 3D from an Uncurated Image Collection



Map 1 image with 1 object to its 3D, texture and viewpoint




- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- It should look **realistic**

$$z_f \rightarrow G \qquad r$$

We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint





- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- It should look **realistic**

We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint





- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- It should look **realistic**

$$z_f \rightarrow \frac{G}{v}$$

We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint





- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint
- It should look realistic





- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint
- It should look **realistic**







- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint
- It should look **realistic**







- The generator G generates 3D, texture and background
- We render a view via a differentiable renderer from a random viewpoint
- It should look realistic







Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images





Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images





Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images





Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images





Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images





Szabó and Favaro, "Unsupervised 3D Shape Learning from Image Collections in the Wild", arXiv 2018 Szabó et al, Unsupervised Generative 3D Shape Learning from Natural Images, arXiv 2019

Combine an encoder with the previous generator to autoencode images



### Generative Model on CelebA



output 3D texture backgr.



#### views without background





### Generative Model on CelebA



generatedgeneratedgeneratedimage3Dtexturebackground



### generated viewpoints



### Autoencoder on CelebA



#### 3D backgr. input texture rec.

#### views without background



### Conclusions

Poses lots of interesting and challenging problems

It forces a drastic change in how problems are solved  $\bullet$ 

Unsupervised learning allows scaling and possibly a better generalization

In my view a key building block for machines that learn by themselves

